Skeletal Class I Malocclusion with Severe Crowding and Anterior Crossbite Treated with 4 First Premolar Extractions

Abstract

History: A 19yr-6mo-old female presented with chief complaints of severe crowding and an anterior crossbite.

Diagnosis: The skeletal Class I malocclusion (SNA, 83.5°; SNB, 85°; ANB, -1.5°) was associated with severe crowding (> 7 mm) and an anterior crossbite. The Discrepancy Index was 12.

Treatment: Bilateral maxillary and mandibular first premolars were removed to gain space for relieving the crowding and retracting the anterior segment to correct the anterior crossbite. A Damon[®] system appliance with passive self-ligating brackets was applied to correct the dental malocclusion after extracting four premolars.

Results: Improved dentofacial esthetics and occlusal function were achieved after treatment. The Cast-Radiograph Evaluation score was 24, and the Pink and White esthetic score was 2.

Conclusions: This case report demonstrates the use of passive self-ligating appliances and open coil springs to resolve an anterior crossbite and severe crowding. Furthermore, the application of Class II elastics during the closure of extraction spaces corrected the proclination of the upper anterior teeth. (J Digital Orthod 2024;73:4-18)

Key words:

Skeletal Class I, proclined anterior teeth, anterior crossbite, passive self-ligating brackets, Class II elastics, first premolar extraction

The dental nomenclature for this report is a modified Palmer notation with four oral quadrants: upper right (UR), upper left (UL), lower right (LR), and lower left (LL). Teeth are numbered 1-8 from the midline in each quadrant.

Introduction and Etiology

Bimaxillary crowding with a Class I molar relationship is a prevalent issue throughout the Asian population.¹ Crowding not only affects appearance but can also contribute to periodontal problems due to challenges in maintaining oral hygiene. Extracting four first premolars is an efficient way to relieve bimaxillary crowding,² but other factors such as facial profile, lip protrusion, and mandibular plane angle must also be taken into consideration.

Managing torque control, which involves maintaining the desired axial inclinations of teeth, can be challenging when closing extraction spaces. This case report outlines the treatment of bimaxillary crowding using extractions, and details effective torque control measures for retracting the maxillary incisors.

Tsung Hsiu Yang, Training Resident, Beethoven Orthodontic Center (Left) Yin Hein Duan, Lecturer, Beethoven Orthodontic Center (Center left) Chris H. Chang, Founder, Beethoven Orthodontic Center Publisher, Journal of Digital Orthodontics (Center right) W. Eugene Roberts, Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Digital Orthodontics (Right)



Diagnosis

A 19-year-old female presented for orthodontic consultation with chief complaints of anterior crossbite and crowding (Figs. 1 and 2). No contributing medical or dental histories were reported.

The plaster casts revealed severe crowding (> 7 mm) (Fig. 3). The radiographic documentation of the malocclusion includes a lateral cephalometric film in centric occlusion (C_0) (Fig. 4), a panoramic radiograph (Fig. 5), and temporomandibular joint (TMJ) views (Fig. 6). There were no signs nor symptoms of temporomandibular dysfunction. The



Fig. 1: Pre-treatment facial and intraoral photographs



Fig. 2:

Pre-treatment photograph shows anterior crossbite and an edge-to-edge incisor relationship.



Fig. 3: Pre-treatment dental models (casts)

American Board of Orthodontics (ABO) Discrepancy Index (DI) was 12 as shown in the subsequent Worksheet 1.³ Cephalometric analysis was summarized in Table 1.

Facial:

- Convexity: concave (G-Sn-Pg, -3°)
- Lip protrusion: Within normal limits (WNL) (upper/ lower lips were -2 mm/0 mm to the E-line.)



Fig. 4: Pre-treatment cephalometric radiograph



Fig. 5: Pre-treatment panoramic radiograph



Fig. 6:

Pre-treatment transcranial radiographs of the temporomandibular joints (TMJs) are shown, from the left: right TMJ closed, right TMJ open, left TMJ open, and left TMJ closed. The mandibular condyles are outlined in blue.

Skeletal:

- Skeletal Class I (ANB, -1.5°), normal maxilla (SNA, 83.5°), and mandibular prognathism (SNB, 85°)
- Mandibular plane angle: Normal (SN-MP, 29°; FMA, 22°)

Dental:

• Molar relationship: Class I on both sides

CEPHALOMETRIC SUMMARY				
	PRE-TX	POST-TX	DIFF.	
SKELETAL ANALYSIS				
SNA° (82°)	83.5°	82.5°	1°	
SNB° (80°)	85°	84°	1°	
ANB° (2°)	-1.5°	-1.5°	0°	
SN-MP° (32°)	29°	30°	1°	
FMA° (27°)	22°	23°	1°	
DENTAL ANALYSIS				
U1 TO NA mm (4mm)	6	5	1	
U1 TO SN° (104°)	115.5°	110°	5.5°	
L1 TO NB mm (4mm)	4	1	3	
L1 TO MP° (90°)	90.5°	78	12.5°	
FACIAL ANALYSIS				
E-LINE UL (-1mm)	-2	-3	1	
E-LINE LL (0 mm)	0	-2	2	
%FH: Na-ANS-Gn (56%)	56.5%	55.5%	1%	
Convexity: G-Sn-Pg (13°)	-3°	0°	3°	

Table 1: Cephalometric Summary

- Upper incisor: protrusive and proclined (U1-NA, 6 mm; U1-SN, 115.5°)
- Lower incisor: WNL (L1-NB, 4 mm; L1-MP, 90.5°)
- Overjet/overbite: 0 mm/0 mm (edge-to-edge)
- Severe crowding (> 7 mm)
- Anterior crossbite (UR2 and UL2)

Treatment Objectives

- 1. Correct the anterior crossbite and the edge-toedge relationship of U1s.
- 2. Relieve the severe crowding.
- 3. Retract and retrocline U1s.
- 4. Achieve a desired profile.

Treatment Plan

According to Chang's Extraction Decision Table (Table 2), extraction is the first choice for a case with flared central incisors and severe crowding.⁵ Since the patient was willing to have teeth extracted, UR4, UL4, LR4, and LL4 were scheduled for extraction prior to active treatment in order to create enough space to relieve the anterior crowding and retract the flared anterior teeth. Closing the extraction space by retracting the anterior segment would also lead to the retraction of the lips. To correct the anterior-posterior relationship between the maxillary and mandibular dentitions, the use of Class II elastics was

	Ext	Non
1. Profile	Protrusive	Straight
2. Md. angle	High	Low
3. Bite	Open	Deep
4. Ant. inclination	Flaring	Flat
5. Crowding	> 7 mm	None
6. Decay/missing	Present	?
7. P't perception	Ok	No
8. Etc		

Table 2: Chang's Extraction Decision Table

indicated.⁵ To correct the anterior crossbite, open coil springs were planned to be introduced for creating spaces between U1s and U3s.

Treatment progress

The treatment progress is documented from the right buccal, frontal, left buccal, upper occlusal, and lower occlusal views, respectively (Figs. 7-11); the archwire sequence is shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

Before active orthodontic treatment, the patient was referred to have UR4, UL4, LR4, and LL4 extracted. A Damon Q[®] fixed appliance self-ligating (PSL) brackets featuring 0.022-inch slot and passive



Fig. 7:

Treatment progress from the maxillary occlusal view is shown in months (M): 0M, 2M, 6M, 10M, 14M, 18M, with archwire specifications provided in grey boxes.



Fig. 8:

Treatment progress from the mandibular occlusal view is shown in months (M): 0M, 2M, 6M, 10M, 14M, 18M, with archwire specifications provided in grey boxes.



Fig. 9: Frontal view of the treatment sequence is shown in months (M): 0M, 2M, 6M, 10M, 14M, 18M.



Fig. 10: Right buccal view of the treatment sequence is shown in months (M): 0M, 2M, 6M, 10M, 14M, 18M.



Fig. 11: Left buccal view of the treatment sequence is shown in months (M): 0M, 2M, 6M, 10M, 14M, 18M

self-ligating (PSL) brackets, and all designated archwires and orthodontic auxiliaries were included.

At the start of the treatment, the brackets were bonded on all upper teeth except for U2s. Standardtorque brackets were chosen for U1s and hightorque brackets were chosen for U3s. A 0.014-in CuNiTi archwire was engaged on the upper arch. Two open coil springs were placed between U1s and U3s on both sides to create space.



Fig. 12: Space consolidation with power tube from UR2 to UL2 in

Following a month of space opening, sufficient space for the U2s was successfully attained, so the open coil springs were subsequently taken out. The lower dentition was also bonded with PSL brackets. Standard-torque brackets were placed on the lower incisors, while high-torque brackets were placed on the lower canines.

By the 6th month, the anterior crossbite on both sides were successfully corrected, and the



Fig. 13: Post-treatment facial and intraoral photographs



Fig. 14:

Superimposed cephalometric tracings show the dentofacial changes after 19 months of active treatment (Pre-Tx: black; Post-Tx: red). The tracings are superimposed on the anterior cranial base (left), maxilla (upper right), and mandible (lower right). See text for details.

alignment of both arches was achieved with progressive 0.014x0.025-in CuNiTi and 0.017x0.025-in TMA archwires. In the 10th and 11th months, more rigid 0.016x0.025-in SS archwires were employed in the maxillary and mandibular lower arches for the remaining space closure.

To close the extraction spaces, four-ring power chains were applied bilaterally, from U3s to U6s in the maxilla. Simultaneously, Class II elastics (Fox, 1/4-inch, 3.5-oz; Ormco) were applied bilaterally from U3s to L6s and L7s.

In the 17th month, black triangles were noted interproximally between the four upper incisors. Interproximal enamel reduction (IPR) was

performed from UR2 to UL2 in the 17th month (Fig. 10) and from LR3 to LL3 in the 18th month of treatment, respectively. Figure-of-eight ties were subsequently applied in both arches.

Following 19 months of active treatment, the removal of all fixed appliances took place. Subsequently, post-treatment records, including casts, photographs, as well as panoramic and lateral cephalometric radiographs, were obtained. (Figs. 13-17).

Result Achieved

The severe crowding and anterior crossbite were successfully corrected after 19 months of active



Fig. 15: Posttreatment cephalometric radiograph

treatment (Fig. 13). The extraction spaces were fully closed, and the canine and molar relationships were corrected to Class I relationships.

The superimposed cephalometric tracings illustrate that the axial inclination of the upper incisors (U1-SN) decreased 5.5° after treatment (115.5° to 101°), but the lower incisors (L1-MP) were unavoidably tipped lingually due to space closing. (90.5° to 78°) (Fig. 14; Table 1).

The upper and lower lips were both retruded following the retraction of the anterior segments. The mandibular plane angle (SN-MP) was well-maintained. The Cast-Radiograph Evaluation (CRE) score was 24 points, as shown in the supplementary Worksheet 2.6 The Pink and White dental esthetic score was 2 points (Worksheet 3).7 The patient was pleased with the final result.



Fig. 16: Posttreatment panoramic radiograph



Fig. 17: Posttreatment dental models (intraoral scanning)

Retention

After the fixed appliances were removed, two ESSIX® (Dentsply Sirona, Harrisburg, PA) overlay retainers were provided to retain the alignment of the dentition. Fixed retainers were also placed from UR2 to UL2 on the upper arch, and from LR3 to LL3 on the lower arch to prevent the crowding from relapsing.

Discussion

Extraction or Non-extraction

The primary issue for this patient was overcrowding in the anterior teeth. The patient presented with a concave profile, flared anterior teeth, and severe crowding exceeding 7 mm. Following Chang's Extraction Decision Table (Table 2), a treatment plan was devised, which involved the extraction of all four first premolars to alleviate the crowding and facilitate the retraction of the anterior teeth, aiming for an optimal esthetical and functional outcome.

Brackets torque selection: Consider Class II elastics and space closure.

High-torque brackets were selected for the upper and lower canines to prevent their roots from striking the buccal cortical bone and causing problems related to space closure.

The initial condition of the U1s was proclined and protruded, whereas the L1s were initially flat. To address the proclination of the U1s and the flat L1s, Class II elastics were employed during the closure of the extraction spaces. This approach aimed to retract and recline the U1s while also flare out the L1s. Taking the available mechanics into consideration, standard-torque brackets were selected for both the upper and lower incisors to achieve the aimed effects.

However, upon reviewing the posttreatment cephalometric radiograph, it was observed that during the first premolar extraction space closure, the lower incisor angle $(L1 \rightarrow MP)$ decreased excessively (90.5° to 78°), which was less than ideal. If we were to reconsider the treatment plan, the use of high-torque brackets for the lower incisors might have been a more suitable choice.

Alternatively, introducing Class II elastics at an earlier stage could also be considered. If Class II elastics were introduced earlier, the mechanics could cause further flaring of the lower anterior teeth and simultaneously straighten the upper anterior teeth.

Anterior crossbite correction

Anterior crossbite is a malocclusion characterized by the maxillary anterior teeth being positioned lingually in comparison to the mandibular anterior teeth. In the current case, the patient's anterior crossbite resulted from insufficient space in the maxilla to accommodate the upper lateral incisors.

Following the extractions, brackets were bonded on all upper teeth except for U2s. To create space for U2s, an open coil spring was introduced bilaterally between U1s and U3s. It is advisable to leave U2s unengaged from the archwire during this space-opening phase to allow them to remain as free bodies. Once sufficient space was created by the open coil spring, the U2s were bonded and engaged with the archwire for alignment and leveling.

Black triangle management

Interproximal reduction (IPR) of the enamel is a common technique used in orthodontic

treatments to create additional space for alignment.^{9,10} Followed by space consolidation with power tubes, IPR helps increase the length of interproximal contacts and reduces the presence of black triangles.

In this case, since a black triangle was observed, IPR was carried out to enhance both alignment and esthetics. Figure-eight ties were applied on both arches in order to maintain space consolidation between the anterior teeth.

Conclusions

This Class I malocclusion, complicated by severe crowding, an anterior crossbite, and protruding anterior teeth, was successfully treated to a pleasing result. The decision on whether to extract or not was guided by Chang's Extraction Decision Table, which provided a well-defined and structured guideline. In cases involving extractions, torque selection is a pivotal factor influenced by various considerations, which plays a crucial role in achieving a successful outcome.

References

- Chang MJ, Lin JJ, Roberts WE. IZC bone screw anchorage for conservative treatment of bimaxillary crowding in an asymmetric Class II/I subdivision 1 malocclusion. Int J Orthod Implantol 2017;48:4-22.
- 2. Liaw JJL, Roberts WE. Molar retraction in all four quadrants to correct a Class III malocclusion in a patient with a flat profile. Int J Orthod Implantol 2012;27:20-33.
- 3. Chang CH, Roberts WE. OrthodonticsVol.1 [E-reader version]. Hsinchu: Newton's A; 2012.

- Huang C, Shern L, Chang CH, Roberts WE. Extraction vs. non-extraction therapy: statistic and retrospective study. Int J Orthod Implantol 2016,44:76-86.
- Janson G, Sathler R, Fernandes TM, Branco NC, Freitas MR. Cor ection of Class II malocclusion with Class II elastics: A systematic review. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2013;143:383-92.
- Casko JS, Vaden JL, Kokich VG, Damone J, James RD. American board of orthodontics: Objecting grading system for dental casts and panoramic radiographs. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1998;114(5):589–599.
- Su B. IBOI Pink and White esthetic score. Int J Orthod Implantol 2013;28:80-85.
- Kozlowski J. Honing Damon System mechanics for the ultimate in eciency and excelence. Clin Impressions 2008;16:23-8.
- 9. Frindel C. Clear thinking about interproximal stripping. J Dentofacial Anom Orthod 2010;13:187-199.
- Shih YH, Lin JJ, Roberts WE. Class II division I malocclusion with 5 mm of crowding treated non-extraction with IZC miniscrews anchorage. Int J Orthod Implantol 2016;41:4-17.



Discrepancy Index Worksheet TOTAL D.I. SCORE 12 **OVERJET** 0 mm. (edge-to-edge) =1 - 3 mm. = 0 pts. 3.1 - 5 mm. = 2 pts. 5.1 - 7 mm. = 3 pts. 7.1 - 9 mm. = 4 pts. 5 pts. > 9 mm. = Negative OJ (x-bite) 1 pt. per mm. Per tooth = Total = 4 **OVERBITE** 0 - 3 mm. = 0 pts. 3.1 - 5 mm. = 2 pts. 5.1 - 7 mm. 3 pts. = Impinging (100%) 5 pts. = Total = 0 **ANTERIOR OPEN BITE** 0 mm. (Edge-to-edge), 1 pt. per tooth Then 1 pt. per additional full mm. Per tooth Total = 0 **LATERAL OPEN BITE** 2 pts. per mm. Per tooth Total = 0

<u>CROWDING</u> (only one arch)

1 - 3 mm. 3.1 - 5 mm. 5.1 - 7 mm. > 7 mm.	= = =	1 pt. 2 pts. 4 pts. 7 pts.
> / mm.	=	/ pts.

Total



=

=

OCCLUSION

Class I to end on	=	
End on Class II or III	=	
Full Class II or III	=	
Beyond Class II or III	=	

Total



LINGUAL POSTERIOR X-BITE				
1 pt. per tooth	Total	= 1		
BUCCAL POSTERIO	R X-BITE			
2 pts. Per tooth	Total	= 0		
CEPHALOMETRICS	(See Instructio	ons)		
$ANB \ge 6^{\circ} \text{ or } \le -2^{\circ}$		= 4 pts.		
Each degree $< -2^{\circ}$	x 1 pt.	=		
Each degree $> 6^{\circ}$	x 1 pt.	=		
SN-MP				
\geq 38°		= 2 pts.		
Each degree > 38°	x 2 pts.	=		
\leq 26°		= 1 pt.		
Each degree < 26°	x 1 pt.	=		
1 to MP \ge 99°		= 1 pt.		
Each degree $> 99^{\circ}$	x 1 pt.	=		
	Total	= 0		

<u>OTHER</u> (See Instructions)

Supernumerary teeth	x 1 pt. =
Ankylosis of perm. Teeth	x 2 pts. =
Anomalous morphology	x 2 pts. =
Impaction (except 3 rd molars)	x 2 pts. =
Midline discrepancy (≥ 3mm)	@ 2 pts. =
Missing teeth (except 3rd molars)	x 1 pt. =
Missing teeth, congenital	x 2 pts. =
Spacing (4 or more, per arch)	x 2 pts. =
Spacing (Mx cent. diastema ≥2mm)	@ 2 pts. =
Tooth transposition	x 2 pts. =
Skeletal asymmetry (nonsurgical tx)	(a) 3 pts. =
Addl. treatment complexities	• ·

Identify:

Total





INSTRUCTIONS: Place score beside each deficient tooth R in the white box. Mark extracted teeth with "X". Second molars should be in occlusion.

IBOI Pink & White Esthetic Score





1. Pink Esthetic Score





2. White Esthetic Score (for Micro-esthetic)





1. M & D Papillae 0 1 2 2. Keratinized Gingiva 0 1 2 3. Curvature of Gingival Margin 0 1 2 4. Level of Gingival Margin 0 1 2 5. Root Convexity (Torque) 0 1 2 6. Scar Formation 0 1 2 1. M & D Papillae 0 1 2 2. Keratinized Gingival Margin 0 1 2 3. Curvature of Gingival Margin 0 1 2 4. Level of Gingival Margin 0 1 2 5. Root Convexity (Torque) 0 1 2 6. Scar Formation 0 1 2 1. M & D Papillae 0 1 2 3. Curvature of Gingival Margin 0 1 2 4. Level of Gingival Margin 0 1 2 5. Root Convexity (Torque) 0 1 2					
2. Keratinized Gingiva0123. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin0125. Root Convexity (Torque)0126. Scar Formation0121. M & D Papillae0122. Keratinized Gingival Margin0123. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin012					
3. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin0125. Root Convexity (Torque)0126. Scar Formation0121. M & D Papillae0122. Keratinized Gingival0123. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin012	1. M & D Papillae	0	1	2	
4. Level of Gingival Margin0125. Root Convexity (Torque)0126. Scar Formation0121. M & D Papillae0122. Keratinized Gingiva0123. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin012	2. Keratinized Gingiva	0	1	2	
5. Root Convexity (Torque)0126. Scar Formation0121. M & D Papillae0122. Keratinized Gingiva0123. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin012	3. Curvature of Gingival Margin	n 0	1	2	
6. Scar Formation0121. M & D Papillae①122. Keratinized Gingiva①123. Curvature of Gingival Margin①124. Level of Gingival Margin012	4. Level of Gingival Margin	0	1	2	
1. M & D Papillae①122. Keratinized Gingiva①123. Curvature of Gingival Margin①124. Level of Gingival Margin012	5. Root Convexity (Torque)	0	1	2	
2. Keratinized Gingiva0123. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin012	6. Scar Formation	0	1	2	
3. Curvature of Gingival Margin0124. Level of Gingival Margin012	1. M & D Papillae	0	1	2	
4. Level of Gingival Margin 0 (1) 2	2. Keratinized Gingiva	0	1	2	
	3. Curvature of Gingival Margin	n ()	1	2	
5. Root Convexity (Torque) 0 1 2	4. Level of Gingival Margin	0	1	2	
	5. Root Convexity (Torque)	0	1	2	
6. Scar Formation 0 1 2	6. Scar Formation	0	1	2	

Total =

1

Total =		1	
1. Midline	0	1	2
2. Incisor Curve	0	1	2
3. Axial Inclination (5°, 8°, 10°)		1	2
4. Contact Area (50%, 40%, 30%)		1	2
5. Tooth Proportion	0	1	2
6. Tooth to Tooth Proportion	0	1	2

1. Midline	0 1	2
2. Incisor Curve	0 (1)	2
3. Axial Inclination (5°, 8°, 10°)	0 1	2
4. Contact Area (50%, 40%, 30%)	0 1	2
5. Tooth Proportion	0 1	2
6. Tooth to Tooth Proportion	0 1	2

BOBS

OBS Super Set

Created by Dr. Chris Chang, OBS is made of medical grade, stainless steel and titanium, and is highly praised by doctors for its simplistic design, low failure rate and excellent quality. OBS is your must-have secret weapon for maximum, reliable anchorage.



* TADs made of Ti alloy have a lower failure rate compared to SS when placed in thin cortical bone. These results are consistent with a biocompatibility-related tendency for less bone resorption at the bone screw interface. Reference: Failure Rates for SS and Ti-Alloy Incisal Anchorage Screws: Single-Center, Double Blind, Randomized Clinical Trial (J Digital Orthod 2018;52:70-79)
** The overall success rate of 93.7% indicates that both SS and TiA are clinically acceptable for IZC BSs.

Reference: Failure rates for stainless steel versus titanium alloy infrazygomatic crest bone screws: A single-center, randomized double-blind clinical trial (Angle Orthod 2019;89(1):40-46)



**** +886-3-573-5676

iworkshop.beethoven.tw

Screws & Aligners International Workshop

Beethoven International Workshop

demonstrates how to incorporate TADs and minor surgeries in complex orthodontic treatment. Experienced practitioners get to (1) learn firsthand from the world-renowned orthodontist and lecturer, Dr. Chris Chang, (2) observe management secrets behind a highly efficient clinic, and (3) take home effective clinical tips developed by the Beethoven group to take your clinical results to the next level!



Observership at Beethoven

Chair-side observation of Dr. Chang's clinical treatment and patient communication



Master-level TAD Learning

Identify various clinical indicators for TADs and master application skills



All New Hands-on Workshop

Custom-made model designed by Dr. Fernando Rojas-Vizcaya for realistic impaction treatment practice





+886-3-5735676 #218 Annie

course@newtonsa.com.tw



Hsinchu, Taiwan



2024

		Dates updated!
Screws 8	k Aligners	Nov 26-28
Keynote	(optional)	Nov 29
Course fe	es*:	
Day 123	USD 3,950 (Early	y bird rate*) USD 4,600
Day 4	USD 500 (Early	y bird rate*) USD _700
* Fees cover	local transportation, me	eals and three nights of

* Fees cover local transportation, meals and three nights of shared accommodation (double occupancy). Airport pick up is available upon request with additional charges.

* Early bird rate ends two months prior to the course date.

"Dr. Angle would be glad to know that contemporary orthodontics has a professional as Chris Chang!"



Prof. Dr. Paulo Fernandes Retto, Portugal



DDS, PhD. ABO certified, Angle Midwest member, director of Beethoven Orthodontic Center, Taiwan

Dr. Chang received his PhD in bone physiology and Certificate in Orthodontics from Indiana University in 1996. As publisher of Journal of Digital Orthodontics-a journal for interdisciplinary dental treatment, he has been actively involved in the design and application of orthodontic bone screws.

IMPACTION





Chair-side observation



Lecture, chair-side observation Lecture topic: Screws & Aligners

VISTA & 4 other minor surgeries for orthodontic practice

Hands-on workshop

(optional) conducted by Newton's A team





VISTA Vertical Incision Subperiosteal Tunnel Access

2024 Damon Master Program





全新改版的 2024 年貝多芬高效 Damon 矯正大師系列課程,是由國際知名講師張慧男醫師親自規劃及授課,課程特色強調由臨床病例帶動診斷、分析、治療計畫擬定與執行技巧,本年度亦特別加入最新的數 位矯正與隱形牙套的內容,並邀請了貝多芬牙科集團各院院長演講特別矯正專題。

名額有限,一年僅有一次機會在台完整體驗 Damon 矯正大師課程,錯過只能等明年囉!

Module 1 - 3/28

- 1. Selecting your ideal first case
- 2. Bonding position
- 3. Bonding + BT + ceph tracing
- 4. TADs + space closing + hook + spring
- 5. Finishing bending & fixed retainer

Practice: Clinical photography (黃亭雅,陳韻如醫師)

Module 2 - 4/11

- 1. Four stages of efficient orthodontic treatment
- 2. Simple and effective anchorage system
- 3. Extraction vs. non-extraction analysis

Practice: Patient photo management (金牛頓工程師)

Module 3 - 4/25

- 1. Soft & hard tissue diagnostic analysis
- 2. Big overjet correction
- 3. Damon diagnosis & fine-tuning

Practice: Ceph tracing (金牛頓工程師)

Module 4 - 5/16

- 1. Excellent finishing
- 2. Retention & relapse

Practice: Ceph superimposition & measurement (金牛頓工程師)

Module 5 - 5/30

- Simplify your system
 Extraction vs. non-extraction
- 2. Extraction vs. non-extraction

Practice: Case report demo (陳俊宏醫師)

Computer training (Mac): 1:30-3:00 pm

時間:週四全天(9 am - 5 pm) 地點:金牛頓藝術科技(新竹市建中一路 25 號 2 樓) 費用含課程視訊*、iPad、課程電子書與材料。

*赠送之課程視訊提供兩年時間串流觀看。

相及之跡性优而從快购牛时间中抓载值

報名專線 湧傑 Yong Chieh

北區 邵美珍	中區 張馨云	南區 王慧靜
02-27788315 #120	04-23058915	07-2260030

Module 6 - 6/20

1. Class III correction

Topic: Early orthodontic treatment (曾淑萍醫師)

Module 7 - 6/27

Upper impaction
 Lower impaction
 Gummy smile correction

Topic: Modified VISTA (蘇筌瑋醫師)

Module 8 - 7/11

1. ABO DI, CRE workshop (林彥君醫師) 2. Open bite

Topic: Ortho-viewed interdisciplinary treatment (徐重興醫師)

Module 9 - 7/25

Implant-ortho combined treatment
 Asymmetry

Topic: Impacted cuspid treatment (張譯文,張瑜珍,黃亭雅,陳韻如醫師)

Module 10 - 8/29

Minor surgeries in orthodontics
 Digital orthodontics

Topic: Modified 2X4 appliance in ortho treatment(李亮賢醫師)

Module 11 - 9/19

- 1. Aligner design
- 2. Comprehensive aligner treatment
- 3. Aligner & its challenges

Topic: Pre-aligner treatment (林詩詠醫師)

A Special lecture: 1:30-2:30 pm









YONG CHIEH 湧傑

客服專線:北區 (02)2778-8315 · 中區 (04)2305-8915 · 南區 (07)226-0030 ·本文宣催供牙醫師和牙科相關醫療院所參考,禁止在公共場所張貼或放置供大眾瀏覽和閱讀,未經本公司許可,禁止轉載





線上購物